JOINT COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATIVE RULES ILLINOIS GENERAL ASSEMBLY

CO-CHAIR: SEN. DON HARMON

CO-CHAIR: REP. TIM SCHMITZ

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: VICKI THOMAS



SEN. PAMELA ALTHOFF SEN. TONY MUÑOZ SEN. SUE REZIN SEN. DALE RIGHTER SEN. IRA SILVERSTEIN REP. GREG HARRIS REP. LOU LANG REP. DAVID R. LEITCH REP. DONALD L. MOFFITT REP. ANDRÉ THAPEDI

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Dr. Deanna Glosser, Chairman

Pollution Control Board

FROM:

Vicki Thomas

Executive Director

DATE:

1/6/2015

RE:

Standards and Requirements for Potable Water Supply Well Surveys and for

Community Relations Activities Performed in Conjunction with Agency Notices

of Threats from Contamination (35 Ill. Adm. Code 1600)

38 III. Reg. 22411 - 12/5/14

Pursuant to Section 5-40(c) of the Administrative Procedure Act, JCAR is requesting from your agency an analysis of the economic and budgetary effects of the above-referenced rulemaking. The analysis can be completed using the standard JCAR economic impact form and can be transmitted to JCAR as soon as it is ready, but at least by the time the agency submits its Second Notice on this rulemaking.

JCAR staff will contact you if they need any further economic information not covered by your submission of the form. The information from the economic and budgetary analysis will be presented to the members of JCAR as part of the staff's review of this issue.

Thank you for your cooperation. If you have any questions regarding this request, please contact us at 217/785-2254.

VT:JE:rm

cc: John Therriault

JOINT COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATIVE RULES ILLINOIS GENERAL ASSEMBLY

CO-CHAIR: SEN. DON HARMON

CO-CHAIR: REP. TIM SCHMITZ

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: VICKI THOMAS



SEN. PAMELA ALTHOFF SEN. TONY MUÑOZ SEN. SUE REZIN SEN. DALE RIGHTER SEN. IRA SILVERSTEIN REP. GREG HARRIS REP. LOU LANG REP. DAVID R. LEITCH REP. DONALD L. MOFFITT REP. ANDRÉ THAPEDI

IAN 0 9 2015

in male of

MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Deanna Glosser, Chairman

Pollution Control Board

FROM: Vicki Thomas

Executive Director

DATE: January 6, 2015

RE: Standards and Requirements for Potable Water Supply Well Surveys and for

Community Relations Activities Performed in Conjunction with Agency Notices

of Threats from Contamination (35 Ill. Adm. Code 1600)

38 Ill. Reg. 22411 - 12/5/14

Pursuant to Section 5-40(b) of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act, the Committee is requesting from your agency an analysis of the effects of the above-referenced rulemaking on units of local government, school districts, or community college districts, pursuant to the State Mandates Act, as it appears that this rulemaking may create or expand a State mandate on these entities.

The enclosed illustration entitled "State Mandates Act Questionnaire" indicates the information to be provided as a part of the Second Notice submitted to JCAR.

If you have any questions about this request, please contact us at (217)785-2254. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

VT:JE:rm

Enc.

cc: John Therriault

STATE MANDATES ACT QUESTIONNAIRE

Agency: Pollution Control Board

Heading of the Part: Supply Well Surveys and for Community Relations Activities Performed in Conjunction with Agency Notices of Threats from Contamination

Administrative Code Citation: 35 Ill. Adm. Code 1600

Signa	ture	of	Agency	Hea	d:
~					

1.	Does this rulemaking affect any of the following:				
	Municipality	Other Unit of Local Govt.			
	County	School District			
	Township	Community College District			
2.	Does this rule require any of the above activities in such a way as to necessitate ad	entities to establish, expand or modify its ditional expenditures from local revenues?			
	Yes No Number	of units affected			
	If yes, please estimate the amount of rulemaking per unit of government: \$	additional expenditures necessitated by this			
		per of units affected is unknown, please outline the steps taken by the agency to determine the d the number of units affected.			
	If no, please explain why the rule does not	necessitate such additional expenditures.			
3.	Were any alternatives that do not nec	essitate additional expenditures considered?			
	If yes, please list these alternatives and exp	lain why they were rejected.			
4.	What are the policy objectives of the rulem	aking? (Please be specific)			
5.	Please explain why the policy objectives of the rule or through a rule that does not creat	f this rule cannot be achieved in the absence of te a State Mandate.			